

Hello. I want to say that Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary 14 days before the election is an egregious (sp.) example of a big media company abusing the privileges that the FCC has granted.

Please note: Sinclair is calling this documentary "news" in an attempt to get around the equal time requirement. Advance descriptions of their program indicate that it is about events in the 60's--hardly current, and featuring (dis)information that has already become common knowledge. Sinclair's intent in this can only be for propaganda.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But in this case they are making a mockery of the FCC's requirements.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership restrictions, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to be looked at hard, with the needs of real Americans--as distinct from big corporations--in mind. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Andy Newcomb